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## INTRODITCTION

A considerable number of rare spiders belonging to the little known subfamily Rhoicininae have been collected since 1950. Most of these were taken in Chile by Dr. E. S. Ross and Dr. A. E. Michelbacher ( 1950 to 1951), and in Peru by Dr. E. I. Schlinger and Dr. Ross (1954), for the California Academy of Sciences. Important additional material was collected by Mr. Felix Woytkowski for the American Museum of Natural History, and by Prof. Dr. W. K. Weyrauch, University of San Marcos, Lima, Peru. This material greatly increases our knowledge of the group.

Three specimens of Rhoicinus rothi, new species, from central Peru, are the first males of Rhoicinus to be deseribed. These, with females of sereral species, are the basis for a study of the genital structures of the genus. The type species of Rhoicinus, R. gaujoni Simon, is redescribed in detail, and three other new species from central Peru are proposed.

Calacadia, a new genus from central and southern Chile, contains four new species. A study of the genitalia of both sexes is presented. Three species previously included in Rubrius (Agelenidae) are here placed in C'olacadia: "Clubiona" ambigua Nicolet, "Mynthes" dentifer Tullgren, and Rubrius radulifer Simon. Original deseriptions of C. dentifera (Tullgren) and

[^0]C. radulifera (Simon) and Simon's clarification of C. ambigua (Nicolet) give sufficient data to place them in C'alacadia, but it is impossible to identify recently collected material with them without recourse to type specimens. Specific determination depends mostly on careful study of the genitalia, which carlier authors did not describe adequately.

An outline of the zoogeography of rhoicinine spiders is included, with a map showing the localities of genera.
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## Family PISAURIDAE

Subfamily RHOICININAE Petrunkevitch, emend. Exline, 1950
Rhoicineae Simon, 1898, Hist. Nat. Araign., ed. 2, tome 2, pp. 320-322, figs. 328-330. Rhoicinue Petmunkevitcif, 1928, Connecticut Acad. Arts. Sci., Trans., vol. 29, pp, 9, $38 ; 1939$, ibid., vol. 33, p. 166.
Rhoicininae Exline, 1950, Amer. Mus. Novitates, no. 1470, pp. 2-3.
Eyes in two parallel rows, about equal in size, all dark (except Rhoicinaria) ; trochanters rebordered and notched; trichobothria in irregular double rows on tibiae, metatarsi, and tarsi; no scopulae on legs; upper claws of tarsi pectinate with many teeth; labium notched at base; lower margin of fang groove with two or three teeth; anal tubercle divided; lorm of pedicel divided, and anterior piece notched to receive projection from posterior piece; colulus present and large. Where known, female carries egg-sac attached to posterior spinnerets.

Discussion. Three genera are placed in the Rhoicininae: Rhoicinus Simon, 1898; Rhoicinaria Exline, 1950; and Calacadia Exline, new genus. Most species of Rhoicinus are of moderate length, with long legs, lycosid in
general appearance, and semitropical in habitat. As reported by Simon, the female weaves a sac for her eggs that is unseamed, and carries it by attachment to her posterior spimerets.

Rhoicinariu agrees with Rhoicinus in general appearance and tropical to semitropical habitat, but the only species known, $R$. rorerae Exline, is smaller, with shorter legs. Females carry the egg-sac attached to the posterior spinnerets.

The inclusion of Rhoicinaria with the pisanrids has been quite reasonably questioned by Dr. Heinrich Homann of Göttingen, Germany (1952, pp. 356358). In his exhanstive studies on the structure of spider eyes, he found that the color is stable in related groups. Pisaurids, inchding Rhoicimus, have eight dark eyes. In Rhoicinaria only the anterior median eyes are dark. Rhoicinaria also bears some plmmose hairs, which Dr. Homann did not find on Rhoicinus gaujoni. Many pisaurids have plumose hairs, and I have found them on Rhoicinus wallsi and on $R$. weyreuchi, althongh careful examination of a piece of cleared skin is required to see the detailed structure. Dr. Homann agrees with my conchsion that Rhoicinaria cannot be placed in the Agelenidae, where he would put it on the basis of its eye structure, because of the notched trochanters, trichobothria, and divided anal tubercle; nor can it be included in the Lycosidae becanse of the disposition of the eyes in two rows, the colulus, and lack of tarsal scopulae.

Rhoicinaria is similar to Rhoicints except for eye color. The genitalia, however, are very simple in comparison, and may represent a primitive condition (see "Genitalia" below). The complex female genital plaque of Rhoicinus could have been derived from an ancestral form similar to Rhoicinaria, by a greater sclerotization and division of the pair of spermathecae in Rhoicinaria, and by the development of the protective flange of the fertilization duct. The external openings are on the under posterodorsal side of the plaque in both genera. The male palps of the two genera show much the same relationship. Rhoicinariu, therefore, may represent an ancestral group of rhoicinine spiders, bridging the Agelenidae and Pisamidae, or its ancestral affinities might be quite different from Rhoicinus, with convergent evolution of characters. With no other data available, it seems best to retain Rhoicinariu in the Rhoicininae.

Calacadia, new genns, inchades several species living in temperate to cool temperate regions of central to south Chile. These are spiders of moderate size with shorter legs than Rhoicinus, and agelenid rather than lycosid in appearance. Plumose hairs were not found on a skin preparation of C. chilensis. The third claw appears under ordinary magnification to lack teeth, but when enlarged 100 to 150 diameters, one to three long, very fine teeth are visible. The external openings for the female genitalia are on the rentral side of the genital plaque, and the internal structures, as well as the structures of the male palp, are different from Rhoicinus. The habits of Calacadia
are unknown, but it seems doubtful that the female attaches her egg-sac to the posterior spinnerets, which are rather weak and bear only about a dozen spinning tubules at the tip of the second segment.

The relationship of these genera is not close. Except for the difference in eye color, Rhoicinaria and Rhoicinus are considered primitive and highly specialized genera, respectively, of the same stock. Calacadia, which agrees with Rhoicinus in having only dark eyes, differs in several important characters, and its highly specialized genitalia have no evolutionary affinity to those of Rhoicinus.

## Genitalia

The terminology and interpretation of genital structures in general follow those of Gering (1952) for Agelenidae (wherever applicable) and Comstock (1912, 1940) for Pisauridae. As some oi the structures found in the Pisauridae are different from agelenid genitalia and are not covered by Comstock, several simple and obvious terms are coined.

Rhoicinaria. The genitalia of Rhoicinaria were described in 1950 (Exline, pp. 8,10 , figs. $9-14$ ). The female genital plaque is not heavily sclerotized, except for the undulating posterior border, and tufts of long hairs are lateral to it. A pair of large, almost contiguous spermathecae are visible through the external chitin. Openings into the internal structures, on the dorsal side near the posterior edge, enter simple bent connecting tubes that empty into the spermathecae. The fertilization ducts drain the connecting tubes instead of the spermathecae.

The palp of Rhoicinaria rorerae is simple, with a slight cavity and projection at the distal, retrolateral margin of the tibia. Near the tip of the bulb, the tegulum gives rise to a simple embolus and a pale, simply projecting conductor on the ectal side; on the prolateral side is a short, hooked median apophysis; a heavily sclerotized ridge lies between the median apophysis and embolns.

Rhoicinus. The genital plaques of Rhoicinus are similar in structure, although the shape and details of the internal structures vary with the species. They are usually heavily sclerotized, protruding posteriorly beyond the genital groove. Long hairs on each side usually obscure the posterior part of the plaque. Short hairs of equal length cover the central portion, which in most species is depressed. The external openings are on the posterodorsal surface. A pair of well separated, darkened areas marks the position of the ventral spermathecae. Dark chitinous bands and pale areas near the posterior border give the impression of internal connecting tubes; this is misleading. The bands and pale areas are reflected on the posterodorsal side, and may form a groove with lateral ridges, to guide the male embolus to the external
opening during mating. The openings are a considerable distance from the posterior margin and appear as deep pits in the very heavily selerotized chitin surrounding them. They are widely separated.

Internally, each opening leads into a heavily selerotized mass, the strueture of which has been determined only for $R$. weyrauchi. Two chambers


Map 1. Outline map of South America, showing distribution of genera of the subfamily Rhoicininae.
connect with this mass, an anterior and a ventral spermatheea, in all species studied. Both spermathecae are small compared to the size of the plaque, and differ somewhat in size and position in the various species. On the dorsal side of the plaque, a wide, sickle-shaped, almost membranous flange arises medially, just posterior to the central mass, and extends anteriorly for some distance. Its size, width, and length vary with the species. Along its lateral border, it supports the fertilization duct, which empties into the vagina a considerable distance anterior to the genital groove. The duct is membranous and difficult to see in $R$. weyrauchi and $R$. andinus, but has a heary chitinous wall in R. schlingeri.

The genital plaque of the holotype of $R$. weyrauchi (figs. 11, 13) has been cleared and mounted for examination with a compound microscope. The ventral and anterior spermathecae and central mass are partly visible through the external chitin without removal of the plaque. When cleared, the central mass is seen to contain two separate chambers, a central and a dorsal spermatheea, and passages between the four spermathecae become visible. Each external opening leads into the central spermatheea, and this opens either directly or by connecting ducts to the other three chambers, each at a different level. The anterior spermatheea is at the same level as the central, connected to it by a short, wide duct. Probably the male embolus passes through the central spermatheca into the anterior chamber during mating. Near its ventral posterior margin, the central spermatheca opens into the ventral chamber; on its dorsal side, it leads directly into the dorsal chamber. The fertilization duct drains the dorsal spermatheca. The spermathecae are all small with heavy walls, which in the central and dorsal coalesce into the single mass.

The male is known only for $R$. rothi. The palp (figs. 2, 2a, 5, 14) has an undifferentiated patella. The tibia is peculiarly modified at its distal, retrolateral side by a large, sunken, unsclerotized pit, surrounded by a fairly high, rebordered, chitinous ring. On the prolateral side, the tibia bears two very long, heary spines. The eymbium of the tarsus is elongate and greatly attenuated distally; it is thickly covered with hairs, which on the ventral side of the base are long and amost cover the bulb. Dorsally near the base, the cymbium bears a very long, heary spine. The bulb is attached by a voluminous basal haematodocha, provided with a petiole and attached to a large circular area in the middle of the alveolus. It is broadly attached at its distal end to the subiegulum, which is poorly sclerotized except for a distal ring. There appear to be four wide annuti on the wider side of the subteguhm, but these are unpigmented. A small haematodocha may lie between the subtegulum and the teguhum. The tegulum is large, almost flat on its anteroventral face, bearing three processes distally. The most ventral is the narrow, membranous, elbowed conductor, which appears to support the basal part of the embolus. On the distal median surface of the tegulum, a huge process arises, presumably the median apophysis; it is heavily sclerotized, with a broad irregular base from which a long, heavy cetal arm extends anteriorly. This arm is wrench-shaped with a distal hook, and is the most conspicuous part of the bulb. The terminal division (see fig. 2a) is divided into several selerites: a radix, the exposed side of which is heavily sclerotized and raised into a blunt point; a stipes, attached distally to the radix; the embolus, a distal projection of the stipes; and a large flange arising laterally from the stipes without articulation, presumably a terminal apophysis or homologous to one. The embohus is long, irregularly and partially coiled, stiff, fairly robust, and heavily pigmented. The terminal apophysis, perhaps homologons to the
"fulcrum" of Comstock (1912, pp. 118, 119; figs. 114, 115) is almost flat and bears a tiny hook at its tip. The seminal duct can be traced from the embolus through the stipes, radix, and in part through the tegulum. The embolus seems to lie, in the basal part of its length, in the curve of the conductor: its tip lies in the hook of the terminal apophysis, and its entire length is protected by the arm of the median apophysis.

Calacadia. The genitalia of Calacadia are as complex as those of Rhoicimus, but are entirely different in structure. In the female, the openings to the internal structures are on the rentral side of the plaque; in the male palp, the terminal apophysis and embolus arise from the tegulum at its posterior retrolateral margin, and the patella of the palp is modified.

The female genital platue (figs. $28,31,34,35$ ) is large, with only moderate sclerotization of the external chitin; the suface is considerably rounded in C. chilensis, flat in C. rossi. Externally, two longitudinal copulatory ridges are widely separated and arehed toward one another. Differing with the species, they vary in length, degree of arehing, and height raised. They are inconspicuous, long, and low in C. rossi and C. chilensis, short but conspicuous in C. coquimbensis, and raised well above the surface in $C$. osorno. Medial to, or under the ridges, copulatory grooves lead to the external openings at their posterior extremity. The ridge and groove of each side probably guide the male embolus to the opening during mating. The openings are hidden below the posterior extremity of the ridge. The ridges sometimes end in a sharp point, or a chitinous point may be present posterior to the ridge.

Through the external chitin, part of the internal structures ean be discerned: a pair of large medial chambers, the spermathecae; parts of the connecting canals and copulatory tubes anterior to the spermathecae; and postcrior to them the fertilization canal or chamber.

The internal structures are complex but similar in the species studied (figs. 30, 32, 33, 36). From each external opening a wide, selerotized copulatory tube extends posteriorly, makes a wide loop medially, anteriorly, and then laterally on the dorsal side of the plaque, half of which it almost completely outlines. The copulatory tube is analogous and perhaps homologous to the bursa of Agelenopsis (Gering, 1952) ; it terminates in a large diverticulum on the lateral side of the plaque, into which the male embolus may penetrate during mating. Near the union of the copulatory tube and the diverticulum, a comnecting canal, usually narrower than the copulatory canal, loops medially and posteriorly, dorsal to the spermatheca, emptying into the latter on its posterolateral side. The shape, position, and size of the spermathecae differ somewhat in the various species, but are usually large, medial, ventral chambers, and except in $C$. coquimbensis are contiguous. They empty posteriorly in most species, almost medially in C. chilensis, into
a large, heavily sclerotized chamber (in C. chilensis) or tube ( $C$. rossi, C. osorno, and C. coquimbensis); when this is tubular, it is often much twisted and coiled. The fertilization canal or chamber is drained ber a normally narrow, short fertilization duct.

The male palp is as uniform in structural outline in the two species studied, C'. chilensis and C. coquimbensis, and in those previously described, C. dentifera, C. ambigua, and (. radulifera, as are the genitalia of the female. The patella has a large radula of fine, regular, sharp teeth on its outer side, sometimes on a short apophysis. The lateral side of the tibia bears a distal apophysis with a long rentral fold or carina, and in some species a short, basal, thumb-like, but unsclerotized apophysis. The apophyses of the patella and tibia somewhat resemble those of Cybueus. The bulb of the tarsus, howerer, is very different, and shows the affinity of the genus to the Pisauridae. The embolus is long and whip-like, emerging from the tegulum at the lateral posterior margin of the mexpanded bulb. In its entire length (C. coquimbensis), or nearly so ( $C$. chilensis), the embolus is enclosed by a long, stiff terminal apophysis, arising from the tegulum at the outer side at the base near the embolus, and extending along the entire side of the bulb. The terminal apophysis is a thin, heavily selerotized sheet, rolled ventrally until almost closed, forming a large, deep groove, almost a tube, for the embolus. It appears to be homologous to the "fulcrum" of Comstock (1912, 1940 , pp. 118, 119), described for more typical pisaurids. The conductor arises from the tegulum about midway of the length of the bulb, dorsal to the embolus and terminal apophysis. It is almost transparent and very thin. A heary, more or less pointed or hooked median apophysis arises from the tegulum in the center of the bulb.

## Zoogeograpily

Rhoicinine spiders are exclusively west South American (see map). Species of Rhoicinus, althongh little known and poorly represented in collections, oceur in all the northwestern tropical and subtropical countries of South America: R. wapleri Simon was described from Venezuela, and an immature female of Rhoicinus from Colombia, Province of Narino, is in the collection of the Califormia Academy of Sciences. Western Ecuador is represented by $R$. wallsi Exline, from the sonthern coastal region, and eastern Ecnador on the Emador-Peruvian border, castern slope of the Andes, by R. gaujoni Simon. Rhoicinus rothi Exline, R. schlingeri Exline, R. andinus Exline, and $R$. weyrouchi Exline, are all inhabitants of Andean river valleys of central Peru. Spiders belonging to species of Rhoicinus are probably far more common in these countries than their representation in collections would indicate.

Rhoicinatia rorerae Exline lives in coastal river valleys of northern Peru
and southern Ecuador, along the Chira River (Peru: Department of Piura), and in the Guayas River Valley (Ecuador: Province of Guayas). These little spiders inhabit damp or swampy areas in tropical or semitropical climates, often living in banana groves. Their occurrence in all the permanent coastal river valleys from Panama to Trujillo, Peru, may be postulated.

Species of Calucadia extend from central to southern Chile in temperate to cooler climates, and from coastal to mountainous terrain. C'alacadia coquimbersis Exline is the most northern representative, the female having been collected in the Province of Coquimbo, central Chile. Calacadta rossi Exline lives in the Province of Nuble, central ('hile. ('alacudia chitensis Exline, C. osorno Exline, C. radulifera (Simon), C. ambiguu (Nicolet), and C. dentifera (Tullgren) are all in southern Chile. Calacudia chilensis has a fairly wide range, from the Province of Cautín to the Province of Osorno.

Rhoicinus and Rhoicinaria overlap geographically, Rhoicimus living in the north Andean semitropical river valleys and Rhoicinaria in tropical or semitropical coastal vallers. As reflected in geographical relationship, these two genera are in most respects closely related, with Rhoicinaria being more primitive and nearer the ancestral stork. Calacudia, living in the cool temperate coastal to mountainous terrain of southern Chile, is geographically distant from Rhoicinus and Rhoicinariu, and is also far removed from that stock morphologically.

## Taxonomy

## Key to Gexera

1. All eyes dark 2
Eyes light except for anterior median eyes, which are smaller than others; clypeus low; three pairs of spines under anterior tibia (none distal); genitalia simple: female with one pair of large spermathecae, openings on yosterodorsal side of genital plaque; male palp with small process and excavation on distal ectal side of tibia, bulb very simple....Rhoicinaria Exline; Peru, Ecuador
2. Posterior eye row recurved, eyes about equal in size; lower margin of cheliceral fang groove with three teeth; posterior spinnerets longer than anteriors and robust; clypeus higher than diameter of anterior median eyes; usually three pairs of spines or spinelike bristles muder anterior tibia; genital plaque of female with exterior openings on posterodorsal surface

Rhoicinus Simon; Venezuela, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru
Posterior eye row nearly straight, anterior median eyes smaller than others; lower margin of fang groove with two teeth; posterior spinnerets much less robust than anterior pair, and not much if any longer; clypeus low, no wider than diameter of anterior median eyes; four or five pairs of ventral spines on anterior tibia (three in C. osorno) ; genital plaque of female with external openings on ventral surface

Calacadia Exline, new genus; central to southern Chile

## Gemus Rhoicinus Simon, 1898.

Rhoicinus Sinon, 1898, Histoire Nat. Araign., ed. 2, vol. 2. pp. 320-322, figs. 328-330. -Exline, 1950, Amer. Mus. Novitates, no. 1470, pp. 3-6, figs 6-8.

Type species. Rhoicinus gaujoni Simon.
Carapace with cephalic region blunt in front, sides parallel, narrower than thoracic; thoracic part rounded on sides with longitudinal thoracie groove; chelicerae slightly geniculate with prominent boss and short, heavy fang, margins of fang groove each with three teeth. Maxillae parallel, usually converging slightly over lip; lip almost as broad as long, notched in basal portion. Sternum broad, undulating on sides, concave in front, produced posteriorly between hind coxae. Eyes all dark, in two somewhat recurved rows, anterior row much shorter than posterior; eyes about equal in size or anterior medians a little smaller.

Legs 4123 or 4213 , with trochanters conspicuously notehed, trichobothria in two rows on tibiae, metatarsi, and tarsi; legs usually elothed with long, fine hairs that are curved at tips; no seopulae but with tarsi densely clothed with short hairs; spiny, usually three pairs under tibiae, and third and fourth tibiae with a dorsal spine, besides two to three lateral spines on each side; tarsi with upper claws having many ( $7-14$ ) teeth, and lower claw usually with one tooth, and a pair of false accessory claws present.

Abdomen ovate, usually with very inconspicuous plumose hair; spiracular groove usually a little in front of spinnerets. Lorum of pedicel with two parts, anterior notched and posterior projecting as in Dolomedes. Colulus large; spimerets robust, anterior pair short, almost contiguous, median pair very slender and fairly long, posterior pair separated, with a short but well developed second segment, longer than anterior pair; anal tuberele divided. Genitalia as described above.

Key to Females of Rifoicinus
(Note: Insufficient data were included in the description of $R$. wapleri Simon, from Venezuela. to place it in the key; it is only 6 mm . long, with poorly sclerotized genital plaque. See "R. wapieri" Exhine, 1950, pp. 3-6; error for R. wapleri.)

1. Leg 1 longer than leg 2.......................................................................................................... 2

Leg 2 longer than leg 1.......................................................................................................... 4
2. Clypeus only half as high as area of anterior median eyes; sternum almost as wide as long; posterior spinnerets about a half longer than anterior pair; about 9 mm . long; genital plaque with central part projecting well behind genital groove. $\qquad$ R. schlingeri Exline, new species

Clypeus about two-thirds as high as median ocular area, or more 3
3. Tibia 1 with two dorsal spines; cephalic region wide, not much narrower than thoracic; median ocular area narrowed anteriorly; about 8 mm . long; genital plaque projecting far behind genital groove, evenly narrowed to blunt posterior edge; ventral spermathecae as seen through external chitin separated by only two diameters.
R. vallsi Exline, 1950

Tibia 1 without dorsal spines; median ocular area square; abont 10 mm . long; genital plaque projecting behind genital furrow with posterior edge uneven but blunt; ventral spermathecae as seen through external chitin widely separated, by more than three times diameter $\qquad$ R. gaujoni Simon, 1898
4. Clypeus about two-thirds as high as median ocular area; median ocular area rectangular, longer than wide; anterior median eyes a little smaller than others; about 10 mm . long: genital plaque ronnded behind with wide median and a pair of lateral scallops, and posterior pair of large triangular pale areas.
R. rothi Exline, new species

Clypeus only half as high as median ocular area or less
5
5. Clypeus less than half height of median ocular area, which is longer than wide, a little narrowed in front; anterior median eyes a little smaller than others; abont 11 mm . long; genital plaque with posterior margin evenly curved, broken by a pair of long slits $\qquad$ R. andinus Exline, new species

Clypeus about half height of median ocular area; median ocular area rectangular with eyes equal in size; small species, about 5 mm . long; genital plaque not projecting behind furrow, wide and blunt behind; internal structures widely separated as seen through external chitin, which is not heavily sclerotized
R. weyrauchi Exline, new species

## Rhoicinus gaujoni Simon.

(Figures 4, 6.)
Rhoicinus gaujoni Simon, 1S98, Ent. Soc. France, Bull., 1898, no. 5, p. 129; 1898, Hist. Nat. Araign., ed. 2, tome 2, p. 322, figs. 32S-330.

Female. Total length, 9.86 mm .; carapace 4.93 mm . long, 3.91 mm . wide, 1.67 mm . high. Carapace brown, darkest on sides of cephalic region; chelicerae very dark brown; maxillae, lip, and sternum light reddish-brown; legs pale, almost orange, with pale gray amulations under femora (in the holotype female, the anterior tibiae are also annulate, according to Simon). Abdomen dark gray, lacking pattern except for large pale basal marking over heart area; venter pale yellow with genital p'aque and spinnerets reddish.

Carapace broad, rounded on sides, quite flat; cephalic region wide but considerably narrower than thoracie, sides parallel, bhunt in front: longitudinal thoracic groove conspicuous, cephalic grooves shallow. Chelicerae moderate in size with well developed hoss, slightly geniculate, fang short and heavy, fang groove well developed with 3 stout tecth bordering each margin and lightly scopulate on anterior margin. Maxillae parallel, somewhat converging over lip, bhunt in front, seopulate on imner anterior border. Lip almost as broad as long (Simon deseribed lip much longer than wide), notched in basal third or fourth, tapering on sides and blunt in front.

Sternum (fig. 4) broad, little longer than wide, rounded and undulating on sides, concave in front, abruptly produced behind between posterior coxac; coxae eylindrical, trochanters rebordered and conspicuously notehed.

Eyes all dark, about equal in size, in two somewhat recurved rows, pos-
terior row considerably longer than anterior. Eyes of anterior row almost equally spaced: posterior row with median eves less than a diameter apart and farther from laterals. Median ocular area square: clypeus high and perpendicular, two-thirds as high as ocular area.

Legs long, rather slender, covered with long fine hairs most of which are recurved at tips; spines slender and only moderately long; trichobothria in two rows on tarsi, metatarsi, and tibiae; tarsi thickly covered with hair especially underneath, but without scopulae; tarsi with onchyium; leg 3 , upper claws with 12 teeth, lower claw one, and a pair of false accessory claws present. Length of legs:

|  |  |  | Femur | Pat.-tibia | Metatarsus | Tarsus | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 4.65 | 5.77 | 4.00 | 2.14 | 16.56 |  |
| 2 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 4.65 | 5.49 | 4.00 | 2.05 | 16.19 |  |
| 3 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 4.19 | 5.02 | 3.37 | 1.51 | 14.06 |  |
| 4 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 5.12 | 6.14 | 5.12 | 2.05 | 18.43 |  |

Spines of legs: Leg 1. Femur, 8 dorsal (3 in midline, 2 pro- and 3 retrolateral) : patella, 1 pro- and 1 retrolateral; tibia, 3 pairs ventral (slender, 1 pair distal), 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral; metatarsus, 3 pairs ventral, 3 proand 2 retrolateral. Leg. 2 . Femur, 7 dorsal in two rows, 4 small retrolateral; patella, 1 pro- and 1 retrolateral; tibia, 3 pairs ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral; metatarsus, 3 pairs ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral. Leg. 3. Femur, 1 small prolateral, 3 rows of 3 each, dorsal; patella, 1 pro- and 1 retrolateral, 1 distal bristle; tibia, 1-2-2 ventral, 1 pro- and 1 retrolateral, and 1 dorsal; metatarsus, $2-2-3$ ventral, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral. Leg. 4. Femur, 6 seattered dorsal; patella, 1 pro- and 1 retrolateral; tibia, 1 dorsal, 2 pro- and 1 retrolateral, 3 pairs ventral ( 1 basal vestigial) ; metatarsus, 1-2-3 ventral, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral.

Genital plaque broadly tongue-shaped, blunt but unevenly terminated posteriorly, considerably produced beyond genital groove. Median area

## Plate I (Enlargements approximate)

Figure 1. Rhoicinus rothi Exline, new species, allotype male ( $\times 4$ ).
Figure 2. R. rothi Exline, new species, palp of allotype male ( $\times 19$ ).
Figure 2a. R. rothi Exline, new species, dorsal view of terminal division of expanded bulb: radix is horizontal, stipes divided distally into the embolus and terminal apophysis.

Figure 3. R. andinus Exline, new species, spinnerets and colulus of female holotype, ventral view ( $\times 19$ ).

Figure 4. R. gaujoni Simon, sternum and lip $(\times 8)$.
Figure 5. R. rothi Exline, new species, dorsal view of palp of male paratype ( $\times 19$ ).

nearly round and flat, covered with long, white hairs. Laterally, plaque is raised with a small darkened area on each side, probably marking ventral pair of spermathecae. Darkened areas near posterior edge are probably hearily selerotized bands of chitin. Openings on posterodorsal surface, well separated.

Spimerets heary, anterior pair short, a little separated, preceded by a short, wide colulus; median pair almost as long as anterior pair, but much more slender; posterior pair as heavy as anterior and considerably longer, with a short conical second segment, well provided with spimning tubules. Anal tubercle conspicuously two-segmented.

Remares. The description is based on a female (Tube 10382, Simon Collection, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris). This is probably one of a suite collected by Gaujon at or near Zamora (Province of Santiago Zamora), Ecuador (or Department of Amazonas, Peru?), on the eastern Andean slope.

## Plate II (Enlargements approximate)

Figure 6. Rhoicinus gaujoni Simon, genital plaque of female $(\times 38)$.
Figure 7. Rhoicinus andius Exline, new species. genital plaque of female holotype ( $\times 38$ ).

Figure 8. Rhoicinus sch7ingeri Exline, new species, genital plaque of female holotype ( $\times 38$ ).

Figure 9. Rhoicinus andimus Exline, new species, posterodorsal view of genital plaque of female holotype ( $\times 38$ ).

Figure 10. Rhoicinus rothi Exline, new species, genital plaque of female holotype ( $\times 38$ ).

Figure 11. Rhoicinus weyrauchi Exline, new species, genital plaque of female holotype ( $\times 38$ ).

Figure 12. Rhoicinus rothi Exline, new species, genital plaque of female holotype, dorsal view ( $\times 38$ ).

Figure 13. Rhoicinus weyrauchi Exline, new species, left half of genital plaque of female, cleared ( $\times 190$ ), viewed from dorsal side: 1) central spermatheca, 2 ) ventral spermatheca, 3) anterior spermatheca, on same level as "central," 4) dorsal spermatheca, drained by fertilization duct, 5) external opening into central spermatheca, 6) fertilization duct, 7) slightly sclerotized flange of fertilization duct. (This drawing shonld have been oriented about 25 degrees in a clockwise direction.)

Figure 14. Rhoicinus rothi Exline, new species, palp of male paratype, expanded ( $\times$ 19): 1) median apophysis, 2) embolus. 3) conductor, 4) terminal apophysis.

Figure 15. Rhoicinus rothi Exline, new species, lateral view of left side of genital plaque of female holotype, dissected: 1) lateral view of fertilization duct, 2) ventral wall of vagina, 3) anterior spermatheca, 4) ventral spermatheca, 5) median sclerotized mass, containing one or more chambers or ducts, 6) position of external opening into " 5 ," 7) chitinous band or groove.
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Rhoicinus rothi Exline, new species.
(Figures 1, 2, 2a, 5, 10, 12, 14, 15.)
Fealale. Total length, 10.25 mm .; carapace, 5.08 mm . long, 3.56 mm . wide, 1.60 mm . high. Specimen somewhat broken and with much of the hair covering gone; abdomen a little shrunken and spinnerets receded, probably beeause the spider had laid eggs.

Carapace light red-brown, pale dorsally, a darker longitudinal band with gray infusions on each side, darkly outlined on margins, and dark brown on sides and front of cephalic region; radiating markings present but not conspicuous. Chelicerae dark reddish-brown, maxillac and lip a little lighter. Legs yellow with only traces of annuli under femora, but probably appearing darker when hair covering all present. Sternum light orange, darker on sides. Abdomen mostly dark gray with many pale dots, with a well marked orange median, longitudinal, dorsal marking, irregular and broken posterior to heart region and not extending onto posterior quarter of abdomen; four pairs of punctae or muscle scars, more or less outlining median stripe, are conspicuous; venter dull gray, genital region reddish, and spinnerets pale orange.

Carapace low, thoracic part wide with rounded sides; head region with parallel sides, very blunt in front, with vertical clypeus, two-thirds as high as area of median eyes. Eyes rather small, all dark, in two somewhat recurved rows, posterior row much wider than anterior; area of median eves almost rectangular, a little longer than wide. Measurements of eyes: A.M.E., 0.20 mm .; A.L.E., 0.22 mm .; P.M.E., 0.20 mm .; P.L.E., 0.22 mm . Distance between A.M.E., 0.10 mm .; A.MI.E. to A.L.E., 0.06 mm .; P.M.E., 0.14 mm ; P.M.E. to P.L.E., 0.30 mm . A.L.E. to P.L.E., 0.22 mm . Chelicerae only a little genticulate, quite long and slender, with conspieuous boss; fang short and hearr: fang groove with three teeth on each margin, and a heary fringe of hair bordering anterior margin. Maxillae parallel and only slightly eonverging over lip; lip large, about equally long and broad, with basal third to fourth of length shallowly notched. Sternum 2.54 mm . $10 n g, 1.98 \mathrm{~mm}$. wide, excavated in front for lip, strongly scalloped on sides, and posterior tip narrowing abruptly to extend between fourth coxae.

Legs long and slender, with a covering of fine short hair and rery long soft hair that curves toward the tip, and provided with weak pointed spines; trichobothria seemingly normal for group, mostly lost. Second leg longer than first, fourth probably longest. Palp normal, tipped with a toothed claw. Tarsi with upper claws with approximately 10 graduating teeth, distal five fairly stout and conspicuous, basal five small and difficult to see. Lower claw stout, with a long, eurved, very fine tooth. A pair of false accessory claws is present. Coxae cylindrical; trochanters rebordered and very strongly notched. Length of legs:

|  |  |  | Femur | Pat.-tibia | Metatarsus | Tarsus |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 4.70 | 5.92 | $4.2: 3$ | 2.16 | 17.01 |
| 2 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 4.98 | 5.83 | $4.9: 3$ | 2.16 | 17.20 |
| 3 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 4.70 | 5.26 | 3.85 | 1.60 | 15.41 |
| 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots \ldots$ | $\ldots .55$ | - | - | - |

Spination of legs: Leg 1. Femur, 9 small, fine spines in approximately three rows dorsally: patella, 3 dorsal ( 1 midway on each side, and 1 very small distal) ; tibia, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 4 irregular pairs ventral (all delicate and some almost bristle-like); metatarsus, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, $\mathfrak{2 - 2 - 3}$ ventral, all stonter than those of tibia. Leg $\mathfrak{2}$, as leg 1. Leg 3. Femm', 10 dorsal; patella, as leg 1 ; tibia, 2 pro- and 2 retrolaterals, 3 pairs rentral, 1 dorsal about a third length from end of segment. Leg 4. Femur, 8 weak dorsal spines; other segments missing.

Abdomen normal for gems, genital plaque wider than long, conspicnons, probably obscured in living or tieshly killed specimens by long converging hairs. Spinnerets and colulus normal; anterior spinnerets stont, no longer than basal segment of posteriors, medians nearly as long; posterior spinnerets with large second segment, laterally compressed, somewhat converging, spiming tubes borne only on inner face.

Genital plarque typical of gemus, highly sclerotized, wide, central part with short hairs which cover a wider area, but do not extend as far posteriorly as in other species studied. Posterior edge with a broad median and a pair of lateral scallops, not extending as far behind genital furrow as in R. gaujoni. A pair of widely spaced darkened areas about midway marks the position of rentral spermathecac. Posteriorly, two triangular pale areas are outlined by bands of sclerotized chitin, that suggest connecting canals but are not; the bands are comnected to the heavily sclerotized mass of imner structures but have no lumen: they continue onto the posterior surface where they may be slightly grooved. Openings are obscurely placed in a deep groove on each side of the posterior surface. If the plaque is removed and turned onto its ventral surface, the imner structures and most of the posterior wall are exposed (see fig. 12). The opening of each side leads into the highly sclerotized mass, which surrounds a complexity of connecting tubes or chambers. There are an anterior and a ventral spermatheca (see fig. 15). The fertilization duct is typical of Rhoicinus: a long, narrow tubule, supported by a sickle-shaped flange of thin chitin; the duct empties into the ventral wall of the vagina some distance from the genital groose; the flange with its duct lies just under the ventral vaginal wall (sce fig. 1ㄹ)

Male. Total length, 11.63 mm . Carapace 4.65 mm . long, 3.44 mm . wide, and 1.95 mm . high. Allotype (fig. 1) in excellent condition except that fourth tarsi are missing. Two paratype males are quite broken.

Carapace light orange-brown, darker on sides and front of head; faint, broad, grayish, lateral stripe on each side of thorax due to covering of short, black hairs; eonspienous brown radiating lines present; area behind eyes covered with short, fine, white hairs and sparsely with long, stiff, black hairs. Chelicerae dark red-brown: legs light orange, with only a trace of gray annulations under femora; palps darker; maxillae, lip, and sternum bright yellowish-brown, sternum paler centrally; abdomen gray with an irregular median yellow stripe with tinges of red; venter almost uniform beige, spinncrets yellow.

Cephalic region blunt in front, with parallel sides, considerably narrower than thoracic region; clypens vertical, with anterior eyes slightly protruding, slightly more than two-thirds as high as area of median eves. Chelicerae long, rather slender, and of equal width throughout length, slightly protruding but hardly geniculate, with conspicuous boss; three teeth bordering each margin of fang groove, anterior margin with a thick fringe of long hairs. Thoracic region rounded on sides, moderately arehed, highest at anterior end of thoracic groove which is long; cephalic grooves indistinct.

Eyes all dark, small; both rows somewhat reeurved as in female. Anterior median eves appear smaller than others, but measure almost as large as posterior medians. Area of median eyes nearly rectangular, a little longer than wide. Diameters: A.M.E., $0.18 \mathrm{~mm} . ;$ A.L.E., $0.21 \mathrm{~mm} . ;$ P.M.E., 0.20 mm .; P.L.E., 0.22 mm . Distance between A.ML.E., 0.10 mm .; A.M.E. to A.L.E., 0.08 mm .; P.M.E., $0.14 \mathrm{~mm} . ;$ P.MI.E. to P.L.E., $0.28 \mathrm{~mm} . ;$ A.L.E. to P.L.E., 0.2 .2 mm . Height of clypens about 0.50 mm .

Maxillae and lip as in female. Stermm as in female, nine-tenths as wide as long, slightly arched, sealloped on sides, narrowed abruptly behind, separating hind coxac. Coxae long, eylindrical, trochanters widely rebordered and deeply notched.

Legs a little longer than in female, 4123 or 1243 , fir'st and second nearly equal in length; heavily clothed with long, soft hairs and armed with rather weak bristle-like spines except for metatarsi. Claws similar to those of female. Length of legs:

|  |  |  | Femur | Pat.-tibia | Metatarsis | Tarsus | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 5.49 | 7.81 | 6.23 | 2.88 | 22.41 |  |
| 2 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 5.58 | 7.63 | 6.32 | 2.79 | 22.32 |  |
| 3 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 5.21 | 5.77 | 4.84 | 2.14 | 17.96 |  |
| 4 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 5.77 | 7.44 | 5.95 | - | - |  |

Spination: Leg 1. Femur, 9-10 weak in three rows dorsally; patella, 1 distal and 1 on each side midway, very weak; tibia, 4 pairs ventral (1 pair basal), 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral; metatarsus, $2-2-3$ ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral. Leg 2. As leg 1. Leg 3. As leg 1 except tibia, 3 pairs ventral, 2
pro- and 2 retrolateral, 1 midway dorsal; metatarsns, 2-2-3 ventral, 3 proand 3 retrolateral. Leg 4 . Same as leg 3.

Palp deseribed under "Genitalia" above (see figs. 2, 2a, 5, 14).
Abdomen rather slender, spimerets and anal tuberele as in female.
Locality data. Holotype female, allotype male, and 2 male paratypesPeru (San Martín) : Hara, 20 miles S.E. of Moyobamba, collected .June 1-30, 1947, by Felix Woytkowski.

Disposition of types. The holotype female and the allotype male are the property of the American Museum of Natural History. One paratype male is deposited with the California Academy of Seiences, and another in my collection.

Remarks. The species is separated from other Rhoicinus on characters of the female, as males are unknown for the other species. Definitive characters are shown in the key to species.

The species is named for Vincent D. Roth, Y'uma, Arizona.

Rhoicinus schlingeri Exline, new speeies.
(Figure 8.)
Female. Total length, 9.11 mm .; carapace 3.72 mm . long, 2.79 mm . wide, 1.12 mm . high. Carapace reddish brown, a little paler in midline and on sides, radiating markings present but inconspicuous; chelicerae dark reddishbrown; legs yellowish infused with gray, definite and regular gray anmuk on femora and very faint ones on patellae and tibiae; abdomen gray with yellowish punctae, a broad yellow marking over heart region followed by a median, yellowish longitudinal band broken by gray. Maxillae and lip reddish brown; sternum yellow brown, darker toward edges; venter of abdomen and spinnerets yellow.

Head region broad, blunt in front, sides parallel; cephalic grooves shallow, thoracie groove long and deep; thoracic region gently rounded on sides. Chelicerae normal, slightly genieulate near base, somewhat depressed moder base, with corrugated exoskeleton, boss large; fang heavy, with three teeth on each margin of furrow.

Clypens low, about half height of median eve area, a little higher than in R. andinus. Eyes abont as in other speeies, both rows recmred, all dark; anterior median eyes only slightly smaller than others, separated by threefourths of a diameter; posterior median eyes separated by a diameter; median ocular area almost as broad as long, somewhat narrowed in front.

Maxillae straight ectally as in $R$. andinus; lip a little longer than broad, with basal third shallowly notehed; stermm large, round, wider than in other species, almost as wide as long, only a little coneave in front, somewhat
sealloped on sides, produced to blunt point behind. Troehanters deeply notehed.

Legs hairy as in other speeies; spines partially replaced by bristles, and many of the spines, espeeially those under tibiae, bristle-like at tips. Claws as in other species; first leg with nine teeth on upper claws; lower elaw with one very fine, long, recurved tooth. Legs 4123. Length of legs:

|  | Femur | Pat--tibia | Metatarsus | Tarsus | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.16 | 4.00 | 2.88 | 1.49 | 11.53 |
| 2 | 3.16 | 3.91 | 2.70 | 1.58 | 11.35 |
| 3 | 3.07 | 3.53 | 2.51 | 1.40 | 10.51 |
| 4 | 3.35 | 4.46 | 3.81 | 1.58 | 13.20 |

Spination of legs: Leg 1. Femur, 9 seattered dorsal, bristle-like; patella, distal bristle and 1 feeble spine on each side of middle; tibia, 2-2-0 weak ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral; metatarsus, $2-2-3$ ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral (well developed). Leg 2. Femur and patella as leg 1; tibia, 2 bristles-2-1 bristle and 1 feeble spine ventrally, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 1 dorsal bristle; metatarsus as leg 1. Leg 3. Femur and patella as leg 1; tibia, $1-2-2$ ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 1 weak dorsal; metatarsus as leg 1. Leg 4. Femur, 7 bristle-like spines dorsal; patella as leg 1; tibia, 1-2-2 (all bristle-like) ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 1 weak dorsal; metatarsus as leg 1.

The pedicel has the anterior piece of the lorum with a rery deep, rounded noteh, into which the projection of the posterior picee fits, as in Dolomedes.

Cenital plaque (fig. 8) red, bluntly triangular with apex behind, outlined behind with black, heavily selerotized chitin, more arched than in other species, midline somewhat depressed; posteriorly projecting eonsiderably beyond genital groove, with brush of long hairs on each side and band in midline clothed with short hairs; a pair of bands of light chitin are faintly discernible posteriorly, and lateral to each is a deep notch in the posterior wall, which is reflected on the posterodorsal wall as a groove leading to the external openings. A pair of ventral spermatheeae are visible as two dark patehes, not so far apart as in most species. Internally, the spermatheeal complexes are not so distant from one another as in other speeies; anterior spermathecae considerably anterior to the ventrals as in $R$. weyrouchi, and slightly elongate and somewhat tipped toward one another; the general structure appears the same as in $R$. rothi, $R$. andinus, and $R$. weyrauchi. The siekle-shaped flange of the fertilization duet is narrower than in the other species, but the duet itself is wider, selerotized, and clearly visible.

The spiracular furrow appears to be a little distance anterior to the spimerets. Colulus as in other species. Spimerets robust; anterior pair not quite contiguous, short; medians eontiguous, slender, about as long as anteriors; posteriors widely separated, longer than in other speeies, the basal
segment is longer than the anterior pair, and the distal segment is well developed and conspicnons. Anal tubercle as in other Rhoicinus.

Locality data. Holotype female-Peru (Ifuanuco): 43 mi . east of Tingo Maria, collected October 5, 1954, by E. I. Schlinger and E. S. Ross.

Remarks. As only the holotype female is known, there are no data on variability. Rhoicinus schlingeri lives in the same general area as $R$. andinus, and has many features, inchding size, in common. It is here considered a distinct species, separated by the following characters: wider sternm, higher clypeus, longer posterior spinnerets, and differences in appearance and structure of genital plaque.

The species is named for one of the collectors, E. I. Schlinger.

Rhoicinus andinus Exline, new species.
(Figures 3, 7, 9.)
Female. Total length 11.16 mm .; carapace, 4.19 mm . long, 3.07 mm . wide, 1.12 mm . high (paratypes a little shorter). (arapace light brown, darker on sides and front of head; indistinct pair of wide, longitudinal bands and conspicuons radiating lines on thorax. C'helicerae dark reddish-brown; legs yellowish with gray ammutions on ventral side of femora (paratypes have tibiae also anmulate). Maxillae and lip light reddish-brown; sternum yellow, darker toward edges; coxae pale yellow. Abdomen irregularly grayish, with soft prone hains and longer, more erect hairs, with a pale irregular longitudinal marking, formed of coaleseing chevrons, in the median dorsal area. Yenter with a pale median longitudinal stripe; spinnerets yellowish.

Chelicerae rather slender, only slightly geniculate, protruding, with large boss; three teeth on each margin of fang groove; fang short and heave. (A paratype has four teeth on ventral margin of fang groove of one chelicera). ('arapace low, evenly rounded on sides, with thoracie region considerably wider than cephalic, which has nearly parallel sides that slightly taper anteriorly; cephalic furrows indistinct; thoracic groove long and deep. Pedicel as in Dolomedes, thongh not so strongly notched.

Clypens less than half the height of median eye area. Eyes in two recurved rows, anterior row much shorter than posterior; median ocular area somewhat longer than wide, a very little narrower in front than behind; median eves of both rows separated by about a diameter; anterior median eres slightly smaller than others; lateral eves well separated, each on a separate, very low tubercle.

Lip a little longer than broad, blunt in front, sides straight but narrowing anteriorly, basal third deeply notehed on each side. Maxillae with parallel lateral sides, inner sides inclined to median line but not curved over
lip. Sternum almost flat, coneave in front, sides not so undulated as in R. gaujoni, and posteriorly narrowed more gradually to a blunt point.

Legs moderately long, conspicuously covered with long, soft hair that is eurved at tips, short hairs, and slender spines. Trichobothria as on other species, but a few very long. Tarsi as in other species, upper claws with $9-14$ teeth, median claw with one fairly long tooth (leg 3 of paratype, 10 teeth on upper claws, median claw with one very long, thin, recurved tooth). Legs of both holotype and paratypes 4213. Length of legs of holotype:

|  |  | Femur | Pat.-tibia | Metatarsus | Tarsus | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 3.53 | 4.65 | 3.35 | 1.77 | 13.30 |
|  | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 3.81 | 4.74 | 3.35 | 1.63 | 13.53 |
| $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 3.72 | 4.09 | 2.98 | 1.30 | 12.09 |  |
| $4 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 4.19 | 5.02 | 4.19 | 1.86 | 15.26 |  |

Spination of legs: Leg 1. Femur, 1 prolateral, 7 weak, scattered, dorsal; patella, 1 weak prolateral, 1 basal, 1 distal, 1 retrolateral bristle; tibia, 1-2-2 ventral and 2 basal bristles; 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral (all short and weak); metatarsis, 2-2-3 ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral (stronger than those on other segments). Leg 2 . Femur, 3 spine-like bristles in midline, others seattered; patella as of leg 1 ; tibia, $1-1-2$ bristle-like ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral; metatarsus, as leg 1. Leg 3. Femur with about 10 bristle-like, dorsal; patella, as leg 1 ; tibia, 1-2-2 bristle-like, 1 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 1 dorsal: metatarsus, $2-2-3$ rentral, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral. Leg 4 . Femur, 8 scattered, bristle-like, dorsal; patella, as leg 1; tibia, as leg 3; metatarsus, 2-2-3. ventral, staggered, 2 pro- and 3 retrolateral.

Abdomen fairly large, as in other species. Colulus large; anterior spinnerets almost contiguons, robust; median pair slender, nearly as long as anterior; posteriors almost as robust as anteriors, longer, with distinet second segment (see fig. 3). Anal tubercle as in R. gaujomi.

Genital plaque (figs. 7, 9) heavily sclerotized, with broad posterior margin, evenly curved, interrupted by a pair of slits; pale areas invisible in holotype but conspicuous in paratypes, just median to slits, small and bordered by selerotized hands of chitin. Very long curved hairs on sides; short hairs cover median area to posterior edge. A pair of darkened areas, marking position of ventral spermathecae, somewhat nearer each other than in R. gaujoni or R. rothi. Openings on postero-dorsal surface. Structure much like $R$. rothi, but anterior spermathecae round and very little anterior to ventral spermathecae; flanges of fertilization duct typical, slightly more than a diameter apart, rather short.

Remarks. The almost evenly curved posterior margin of the genital plaque and low clypeus separate $R$. andinus from $R$. gaujoni, $R$. wallsi, $R$. schlingeri, and $R$. rothi, to all of which it is closely related.

Locality data. IVolotype and one paratype (female) -Pertu (HuameoSan Martín) : Manson Valles, near Tingo Maria, collected December 2, 1954, by E. I. Schlinger and E. S. Ross (California Academy of Sciences). Paratype female-Peru (1Luanuco) : Divisoria, 1400 m . above sea level in Cordillera Azul, eollected by Prof. Dr. W. K. Weyrauch (American Museum of Natural IIistory).

Rhoicinus weyrauchi Exline, new species.
(Figures 11, 13.)
Fenlale. Total lengtl, 4.93 mm . Carapace 2.23 mm . long, 1.67 mm . wide, 0.98 mm . high. Carapace with cephalic part and chelicerae chestnut brown, thoracie part infused with lighter patches and dark radiating markings. Legs brownish yellow, with dark gray ammeli on all segments except tarsi, conspicuons ventrally but somewhat obseure dorsally. Maxillae and lip dark, stermum yellowish but darker opposite coxae; coxae yellow. Abdomen dark gray, with yellow marking over heart region and two pairs of yellow patches behind, followed by a single one; sides also with irregular yellow patches: venter nearly all yellowish; spimerets same infused with gray.

Chelicerae moderate in size, ahmost straight, with large boss: fang short and heary, three teeth bordering each margin of fang groove. Cephalic region blunt in front, with parallel sides, rather high; thoracic part evenly rounded on sides; cephalic grooves distinet; thoracic groove small and short.

Eyes much as in other species, all dark, about equal in size, in two somewhat recurved rows. Median ocular area rectangular, longer than wide, both anterior and posterior median eyes separated by only a radins. Lateral eyes separated by a diameter; posterior median eyes separated by more than a diameter from posterior lateral eyes. Clypeus perpendicular, not quite half as high as median ocular area.

Lip about equally broad and long, very shallowly notehed on each side of basal third; maxillae normal, almost meeting over lip; sternum large, concave in front, scalloped on sides, tapering gradually to blunt point behind, completely separating hind coxae: surface of sternum rounded rather than flat.

Legs with erlindrical coxae; trochanters deeply notched; legs without long curved hairs found in other species (possibly lost, as trichobothria also are mostly missing). Spines stouter and longer than in $R$. andimus or $R$. schlingeri, and far fewer of them are reduced to bristles. Upper claws of second leg with 12 teeth, lower claw with one long tooth; pair of false accessory claws present. Legs, 4213 ; length :

|  |  | Femur | Pat.tibia | Metatarsus | Tarsus | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2.23 | 2.51 | 1.67 | 1.12 | 7.53 |
| 2 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2.33 | 2.50 | 1.77 | 1.21 | 7.81 |
| 3 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1.95 | 2.14 | 1.67 | 0.93 | 6.69 |
| 4 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2.51 | 3.07 | 2.51 | 1.26 | 9.35 |

Spination of legs: Many of the spines have been lost: both holotype and paratype have been examined to compile the following data. Leg 1. Femur, 6 to 8 seattered dorsally; patella, 1 on each side of middle; tibia, 3 pairs rentral, well developed, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral; metatarsus, 2-2-3 rentral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral. Leg 2 . Femur, approximately 10 seattered dorsal; patella, tibia, and metatarsus as leg 1. Leg 3. Femur, 8 scattered dorsally; patella, as leg 1 ; tibia, 1-2-1 ventral ( 1 distal replaced by bristle), 2 proand 2 retrolateral, 1 dorsal; metatarsus, 2-2-3 ventral, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral. Leg 4 . Femmr, 4 scattered dorsally; patella as leg 1 , probably with 1 distal; tibia, 1-2-2 rentral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 1 dorsal; metatarsus as leg 3 .

Spinnerets stout, proportionally not so long as in other species but otherwise similar, with posteriors a little longer than anterior pair; colulus and anal tubercle similar. Spiracular furrow some distance anterior to spinnerets.

Genital plaque (fig. 11) wider than long, not so heavily sclerotized as in other species, blunt behind and extending very slightly behind genital furrow. Openings on posterodorsal surface as in other Rhoicinus; internal structure more completely visible through exterior chitin than in other species, ventral spermathecae show through as darkest objects, anteriors lighter; the structures of each half widely separated. The internal structures (fig. 13) are discussed under "Genitalia," above.

Locality data. Holotype and paratype females-Peru (Junin) : Chanchamayo Valler, 800 m . altitude, collected February 7, 1953, by W. K. Werrauch. The paratype will be deposited in the collection of Prof. Dr. Wevrauch, Lima, Pern, for whom the species is named. The holotype is to be deposited at the California Academy of Sciences.

Remarks. Rhoicimus weyrauchi is the smallest species of Rhoicinus known. Its genital plaque differs from the others in being considerably wider than long and not hearily selerotized, with the structures of the two sides very far apart, and the posterior edge blunt and not extending beyond the genital groove. Rhoicimus wapleri Simon, a small species from Venezuela, is similar to R. weyruchi, judging from Simon's brief description; type specimens from Simon's collection, or topotype material from Venezuela, would have to be compared with $R$. weyrauch $i$ to determine the degree of affinity.

## Genus Calacadia Exline, new genus.

Tyie Species. Culacudia chilensis Exline, new species.
Carapace fairly high, cephalic part broad, blunt in front, with nearly parallel sides: thoracic part not much wider than cephalic; longitudinal thoracic groove present, cephalic grooves poorly developed.

Eyes in two nearly straight rows, anterior row somewhat procurved, short, with medians smaller than laterals, all about equally spaced; posterior row similar in size, about equally spaced, and a little recurved, procurved, or straight; all dark. Clypeus very narrow, usmally not as high as diameter of anterior median eyes.

Chelicerae heary, geniculate, with large boss; fang groove inconspicuous, ustally armed with three teeth above, most medial of which extends as a sclerotized ridge half length of ehelicera, and two small teeth near fang below. Lip about equally wide and long, with basal fouth or fifth exeavated; maxillae parallel, somewhat overlapping above lip, with inner tip seopulate. Sternum almost flat, blunt in front, and attenuated between hind coxae posteriorly.

Legs of moderate length, spiny; troehanters all rebordered distally and notched conspicuously (weakly in (. osorno) ; three to five pairs of spines under tibia 1, distal pair weak, seeond and third pairs usually overlapping. Patellae unarmed except for a short distal bristle. Two rows of trichobothria on tibiae, metatarsi, and tarsi. Distal tip of posterior four metatarsi with stiffened, denticulate hairs. Tarsi with three claws, upper claws armed with 7-13 teeth, usually $7-9$, median claw with $1-3$ very fine teeth that are seen only with high magnification. Males with more teeth on upper claws than females.

Pedicel as in Rhoicinus; abdomen ovoid, without plumose hairs; spiracle somewhat anterior to spimerets; six spinnerets, preceded by a very large colulus, anteriors nearly contiguous, short and robust, with very short apical segment, medians contiguous, shorter than either anteriors or posteriors; posterior pair farther apart than anterior, but not extending farther laterally., much more slender and a little longer or shorter, provided with a small second segment, the tip of which bears $1 \underline{2}-1+$ spimning tubules. Anal tuberc'e two-segmented.

The genitalia of Culacadia are complex but uniform in general construction, showing a close relationship between species (see above, "Genitalia," and figs. 25-36).

Remarks. The new species of Colucudin are fairly easy to separate; previously described species, although placed in 'ralucadia with confidence, were not described in sufficient detail to separate them in the key, nor even to identify any of the recent material with them. Therefore some of the present names may be synonyms of previonsly named species: C'. dentifera (Tull-
gren) may be a srnonym of ('. ambigua (Nicolet), and the supposed male and female of C. radulifera (Simon) may not be conspecific.

## Key to Females of Calacapia

1. Five pairs of ventral tibial spines; genital ridges over half length of genital plaque

2
Less than five pairs of spines under anterior tibiae; genital ridges short, half length of plaque or less.

3
2. Surface of genital plaque rounded; ridges long and considerably arched toward one another; spermathecae contiguous and rounded anteriorly; fertilization canal large, but not coiled. $\qquad$ C. chilensis Exline, new species

Surface of genital plaque flat; ridges long and only slightly arched; spermathecae long, narrow, contiguous throughout length; fertilization canal longer, narrower, and much coiled. $\qquad$ C. rossi Exline, new species
3. Four pairs of spines under tibiae 1 ; genital ridges very short; spermathecae well separated, small, elongate, and narrow......C. coquimbensis Exline, new species Three pairs of spines under tibiae 1 ; genital ridges raised above vaulted groove; spermathecae contiguous with coiled fertilization canal.
C. osorno Exline, new species

Calacadia chilensis Exline, new species.
(Figures 16, 22, 23, 25, 26, 28, 30.)
Female. Length 5.5 to 10.0 mm . Carapace yellow to burnt orange, streaked with irregnlar gray markings; legs similar but a little lighter, irregularly annulated with gray, usnally with two to three anmulations under femora; abdomen pale, mottled with dark gray and with an indistinct longitudinal band outlined with light and dark markings (fig. 16). Body covered with hair, but none plumose.

Plate III (Enlargements approximate)
Figure 16. C'alacadia chilensis Exline, new species, pattern of abdomen, holotype female $(\times 8)$.

Figure 17. Calacadia coquimbensis Exline, new species, pattern of abdomen, holotype female ( $\times 8$ ).

Figure 18. Calacadia osorno Exline, new species, dorsal view of body $(\times 8)$.
Figure 19. Calacudia rossi Exline, new species, ventral view of body $(\times 8)$.
Figure 20. Calacadia rossi Exline, new species, dorsal view of body $(\times 8)$.
Figure 21. Calacadia rossi Exline, new species, modified hair from tip of leg 3.
Figure 22. Calacadia chilensis Exline, new species, spinnerets and colulus ( $\times 19$ ) 。

Figure 23. Calacadia chilensis Exline, new species, tibia, metatarsus, and tarsus of leg $1(\times 8)$.

Figure 24. Calacadia rossi Exline, new species, claws of leg 3, showing teeth on upper and lower claw, the false accessory claw, and a few hairs.


Carapace high, highest in posterior part of cephalie region, with gently rounded sides; cephalic region almost as wide as thoracic and blunt in front, with sides parallel. Chelicerae heavy, geniculate, nearly triangular in lateral view, protruding anteriorly well beyond carapace, boss conspicuous; fang long, with inconspicuous groove, two small teetl near base of fang, articulating with fang rather than on posterior edge of groove, three larger teeth anterior to fang groove, with the most distal from fang attenuated as a sclerotized ridge extending to base of chelicerae: anterior edge of groove bordered with a fringe of long hairs.

Eyes all dark, posterior row considerably longer than anterior, and straight or slightly procurved or recurved, equidistant and equal in size, anterior row procurved and equidistant, anterior median eves smaller than others. Median ocular area nearly as long as wide, but greatly narrowed in front; lateral eyes separated by less than a radius. Clypeus three-fourths diameter of anterior median eyes.

Maxillae of moderate length, almost parallel on sides, slightly inclined orer labium, heavily seopulate on inner margin of apex. Labium as broad as long, basal one-fifth excavated, anterior to which is broadest part, rounded on sides to the blunt anterior margin. Sternum broad and almost flat, a little longer than broad ( $5: 4$ ), nearly straight in front, with slightly sinuous parallel sides, narrowing evenly from third coxae to fourth, with narrowly pointed tip separating fourth coxac: sparsely clothed with long, black, erect hairs and shorter, inclined hairs. Coxae large, cylindrical; all trochanters notched.

Legs moderate in length and thickness (fig. 23), 4123, with many spines and several types of hair, with trichobothria in irregular double rows on tibiae, metatarsi, and tarsi ; $4-5$ pairs of spines under tibia 1, typically five pairs, with distal pair weak and one weak prolateral and one weak retrolateral spine; distal pair sometimes not developed, and in one specimen proand retrolateral spines lacking; second and third pairs of spines usually somewhat overlapping, and fourth pair more widely separated than others. Tarsi with three claws, upper claws long and similar, pectinate with $7-9$ teeth; third claw small, usnally with two very small, slender teeth near base, the proximal one of which may be reduced to a denticle. A pair of unserrated accessory spines is present. Hairs on tarsi dense, especially underneath, but not forming scopulae; hairs on distal half of metatarsi, especially posterior pairs, stiff and slightly modified with irregular denticles. Palp with a long pectinate claw.

Pedicel as in Rhoicinus, but very shallowly notched.
Genital plaque large, dark, heavily sclerotized, with surface rounded behind middle and obscured by hair. Externally with a pair of long sclerotized ridges facing one another like a pair of parentheses, separated by nearly the width of the plague. Deep narrow grooves lie under and along
the medial sides of ridges, eonnected anteriorly by a shallow, wide groove in anterior part of plaque (fig. 28). Grooves end posteriorly in openings to internal structures, diffieult to see. Sclerotized points may terminate ridges posteriorly, or points may be absent, or may be separate and posterior to ridges. In specimens not too heavily sclerotized, several internal structures may be partly discernible. Anteriorly, a darkened pair of structures that outline plaque, are parts of the copulatory canals; between these and the large pair of median rounded spermathecae, a pair of connecting tubes mar be visible. Posterior to and between tips of spermatheeae, which turn outward posteriorly where comecting canals enter them, lies a pair of fertilization canals. If lip of plaque is raised, these are clearly seen as large chambers bent dorsally. Internal structures are described under "Genitalia," above (see also fig. 30).

Spiracular opening a short distance anterior to spimerets. Anterior spinnerets moderate in size, cylindrical, almost contiguous, preceded by a ver large rounded colulus; median spimerets normal; posterior spinnerets more slender than anterior, but usually a little longer, with a short second segment, tip of which bears about 14 spinning tubules (fig. 22). (In some specimens the posterior spinnerets are somewhat receded, and appear shorter than anterior pair.) The posterior spimerets are well separated, but not extending laterally as far as anterior pair. Anal tubercle moderate in size, with two segments.

Measurements and details of holotype. Total length, 8.88 mm .; carapace, 4.30 mm . long, 2.99 mm . wide, 1.68 mm . high. Eyes: diameter of A.MI.E., $0.16 \mathrm{~m} . ;$ A.L.E., $0.2 t \mathrm{~mm}$. P.MI.E., $0.20 \mathrm{~mm} . ;$ P.L.E., 0.22 mm . Distance between A.MI.E., 0.08 mm .; A.M.E. and A.L.E., $0.08 \mathrm{~mm} . ;$ P.M.E., $0.24 \mathrm{~mm} . ;$ P.M.E. and P.L.E., $0 . \underline{2} \mathrm{~mm}$. ; A.L.E. and P.L.E., 0.08 mm . Height of crpleus at level of A.L.E., 0.12 mm .

Measurements of legs:

|  | Femur | Pat.tibia | Metatarsus | Tarsus | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.10 | 4.04 | 2.63 | 1.13 | 10.90 |
| 2 | 2.71 | 3.46 | 2.06 | 1.03 | 9.26 |
| 3 | 2.6.) | 2.81 | 2.43 | 0.94 | 8.81 |
| 4 | 3.27 | 4.30 | 3.37 | 1.21 | 12.15 |

Spination of legs: Leg 1. Femur, 1 prolateral, 6 dorsal (3 weak in median line) ; tibia, 5 pairs ventral, 2 pro- and 1 retrolateral (or 6 pairs rentral and 1 prolateral); metatarsus, 3 pairs rentral, 3 pro- and 2 retrolateral. Leg 2. Femur, 7 dorsal ( 3 im midline, 1 on each side basal, 1 on each side distal) ; tibia, 4 pairs ventral, 2 pro- and 1 retrolateral; metatarsus, 3 pairs ventral and 1 median distal, 3 pro- and 2 retrolateral. Leg 3. Femur, same as leg 2; tibia, 2 dorsal, 3 pairs ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral; metatarsus, 2-2-3

ventral, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral, 1 dorsal. Leg 4. Femmr, 6 dorsal; tibia, 2 dorsal, 1-1-2 ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral; metatarsus, 3 dorsal, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral, 1-1-2 ventral.

Male. Total length, 8.4 mm .; carapace, 3.9 mm . long, 2.8 mm . wide, 1.5 mm . high. Quite similar to female, although legs are longer in proportion to body, and chelicerae not so robust nor protruding so far in front of carapace; abdominal pattern as in female. Cheliceral teeth, fang, maxillae, lip, and sternum as in female. Eyes dark, posterior row straight althongh appearing slightly procurved. Diameters of eyes: A.M.E., 0.12 mm .; A.L.E., $0.16 \mathrm{~mm} . ;$ P.M.E., $0.16 \mathrm{~mm} . ;$ P.L.E., 0.16 mm . Distance between A.M.E., 0.10 mm .; A.M.E. and A.L.E., $0.10 \mathrm{~mm} . ;$ P.M.E., 0.22 mm .; P.ML.E. and P.L.E., 0.22 mm .; A.M.E. and P.M.E., 0.24 mm .; A.L.E. and P.L.E., 0.14 mm .

Legs with trochanters rebordered and notehed as in female, trichobothria in double rows on tibiae, metatarsi, and tarsi, but a few trichobothria on metatarsi are umusually long (twice as long as segment is thick). Tarsi more thickly clothed with short ummodified hairs; a few slightly dentate hairs on tip of metatarsi. Claws with many more teeth than in female, 14 on upper claw of leg 2 , three very small on lower claw. Length of legs:

|  |  |  | Femur | Pat.-tibia | Metatarsus | Tarsus |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 3.16 | 4.19 | 2.70 | 1.40 | 11.45 |
| 2 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\ldots \ldots$ | 3.07 | 3.72 | 2.70 | 1.21 |
| 3 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 10.70 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $\ldots \ldots$ | 2.79 | 3.44 | 2.88 | 1.12 | 10.23 |
|  | $\ldots \ldots$ | 3.44 | 4.37 | 3.81 | 1.40 | 13.02 |

## Plate IV (Enlargements approximate)

Figure 25. Calacadia chilensis Exline, new species, palp of male allotype, ventral view ( $\times 38$ ): 1) embolus, 2) terminal apophysis or "fulcrum," 3 ) conductor.

Figure 26. Calacadia chilensis Exline, new species, palp of male allotype, lateral view ( $\times 19$ ).

Figure 27. Calacadia coquimbensis Exline, new species, palp of male allotype, ventral view ( $\times 19$ ).

Figure 28. Calacadia chilensis Exline, new species, genital plaque of female holotype ( $\times 38$ ).

Figure 29. Calacadia coquimbensis Exline, new species, palp of male allotype, lateral view $(\times 19)$.

Figure 30. Calacadia chilensis Exline, new species, genital plaque of female, cleared $(\times 76)$ : 1) lumen of copulatory canal, 2) copulatory canal, 3) external or copulatory ridge, 4) diverticulum, 5) connecting canal (between diverticulum and spermatheca), 6) spermatheca, 7) external opening into copulatory canal, 8) fertilization canal (dorsal to posterior part of spermatheca, 9 ) fertilization duct (emptying into ventral wall of vagina).

Spination of legs: Leg 1. Femur, 6 small dorsal, 1 prolateral; patella, 1 basal, 1 distal very weak dorsal; tibia. 1 weak basal dorsal, 1 pro- and 1 retrolateral, 5 pairs ventral (not so long as in female) ; metatarsus, 1 dorsal, $\underline{2}$ pro- and 2 retrolateral, 4 pairs ventral with 1 distal median. Leg 2 . Femur, 6 sery weak dorsal; patella, 1 distal spine or bristle; tibia, 2 very weak dorsal, 1 pro- and 1 retrolateral, 5 pairs rentral; metatarsus, 3 dorsal, 1 proand 1 retrolateral, 3 pairs and 1 median distal ventral. Leg 3. Femur, 7 dorsal; patella, 1 basal and 1 distal, weak; tibia, 2 dorsal, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 3 pairs ventral with distal pair stronger than others; metatarsus, 1 basal dorsal, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral, 3 pairs ventral with 1 median distal. Leg 4. Femur, 6 dorsal; patella, 1 weak basal and 1 distal bristle; tibia, 2 dorsal, 3 retro- and 2 prolateral, 3 pairs weak ventral; metatarsus, 2 dorsal, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral, 3 pairs and 1 median distal ventral.

Anterior pair of spinnerets robust, short, not quite contiguous, preceded hy large tongue-shaped colulus as in female; posterior pair very slender, shorter than in female, farther apart than anterior pair, with distinct but small apical segment.

For a general discussion of the palp, see above under "Cienitalia" (figs. $25,26)$. The patella and tibia are short and equal in length. The patella is armed retrolaterally with a short, basal protuberance bearing many equally short, stout teeth on its sloping anterior surface; on its retrolateral side the tibia bears a short, fleshy, finger-like, basal process, and a distal, blunt, chitinous process distally that extends beyond the segment, and is slightly keeled ventrally. The tarsal cymbium is large and elongate. The visible part of the bulb is mostly tegulum, with a hooked median apophysis in the anterior median part, and the large terminal apophysis and embolus arising from the basal retrolateral side of the tegulum. The terminal apophysis surrounds the embolus except at its tip, and both extend anteriorly beyond the bulb. The conductor is almost membranous, arising from the retrolateral side of the tegulum, anterior to the terminal apophysis and embolus.

Specimens of C. chilensis are somewhat variable. One small female has only four pairs of spines on the ventral side of the tibia. The female genital plaque is subject to more variability than that accounted for by age and degree of selerotization. The copulatory canals are contiguous anteriorly in some females; in others, including the holotype, they are widely separated. The anterior extent of the copulatory canals differs also. The diameter and length of the connecting canals are not the same in all specimens: in one female these make no anterior loop, but pass directly from the diverticulum to the posterolateral opening into the spermathecae.

Calacadia chilensis is obviously distinct from the other new species, although all are closely related. It may, however, be a synonym of C. ambigua (Nicolet), for which there is no adequate deseription. C. dentifera (Tullgren) differs in details of the male palp, and C. radulifera (Simon) seem-
ingly is armed with three teeth on the lower margin of the cheliceral fang groove, and lacks the basal apophysis on the palpal tibia of the male.

Locality data. Moloype female and three female paratypes-Chile (Osorno) : Valley Forest, 18 km . west of Purranque, collected January 16, 1951. Four female paratypes- (Osorno) : 10 km . east of Puyehue, January 24, 1951. Allotype male-(Osorno) : 20 km . east of Puyehue, January 24, 1951. Female paratype (small, with only four spines on ventral side of tibia 1) - (Arauco) : Nahuelbuta, ('rest of Sierra ( 1200 m . elevation), west of Angol, January 23, 1951. Female paratype (with genital plaque with short connceting tubes)-(Cautín) : 20 km. east of Témuco, January 17, 1951. These specimens were all collected by Drs. E. S. Ross and A. E. Michelbacher.

Disposition of types. Holotype female, male allotype, and paratype females in California Academy of Seiences Collection; one paratype female in the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris; one in the collection of the American Musemm of Natural History; one in the collection of the British Museum (Natural History); one in the Museum of Comparative Zoology (Cambridge) ; and two in my collection.

Calacadia coquimbensis Exline, new species.
(Figures 17, 27, 29, 33, 34.)
Female. Total length, 11.5 mm .; carapace, 4.8 mm . long, 3.1 mm . wide, 2.0 mm . high. Body longer and more slender than ('. chilensis or C. rossi and with fewer and shorter spines on legs. Carapace chestnut brown with irregular gray markings; chelicerae shiny, darker; femora and patellae like carapace, annulate with gray; tibiae and metatarsi dark chestnut, tarsi lighter. Abdomen gray, with irregular darker markings, and median longitudinal stripe enclosing five pairs of light chevron-shaped spots (fig. 17). Sternum shiny light brown; venter of abdomen dove gray with genital plaque and spinnerets brown.

Chelicerae protruding in front of carapace farther than in C. chilensis, otherwise similar in structure. Carapace with thoracic region not much wider than cephalie, slightly rounded with undulating margins.

Eyes all dark, posterior row straight. Diameters of eyes: A.M.E., 0.1t mm .; A.L.E., 0.20 mm .; P.M.E., 0.20 mm .; P.L.E., 0.22 mm . Distance between A.M.E., 0.10 mm . ; A.MI.E. and A.L.E., 0.10 mm . ; P.M.E.. 0.2 .2 mm.; P.M.E. and P.L.E., $0.20 \mathrm{~mm} . ;$ A.L.E. and P.L.E., 0.16 mm .; P.M.E. and A.MI.E., 0.20 mm . Anterior metian eyes smaller than others, anterior row almost equally spaced. Posterior eyes about equal in size and equally spaced; quadrangle formed by median eves is a little wider behind than long.

Maxillae as in C. chilcusis; lip about equally long and wide with basal

fourth notched. Stermum slightly convex, ovoid but blunt in front and attemuated behind, separating hind coxae as in other species.

Legs similar to C. chilensis, with trochanters obviously notehed; modified hairs toward tip of metatarsi, especially on 3 and 4 , with regular rows of very sharp denticles; claws of leg 3 with $7-8$ teeth, lower claw smooth. Trichobothria as in C.chilensis; spines of legs shorter and fewer in number, only 4 pairs under tibia 1. Neasurements of legs:

|  |  |  | Femur | Pat-tibia | Metatarsus | Tarsus |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2.70 | 3.44 | 2.23 | 1.12 | 9.49 |
|  | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2.60 | 3.16 | 1.95 | 1.02 | 8.73 |
| 3 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2.51 | 3.07 | 2.05 | 0.93 | 8.56 |
| 4 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 3.07 | 4.19 | 3.53 | 1.30 | 12.09 |

Spination of legs: Leg 1. Femur, 3 dorsals, 1 prolateral; tibia, 4 pairs ventral, only second pair overlapping; metatarsus, 3 pairs ventral, 1 retrolateral (small). Leg 2. Femur, 5 dorsals (3 in midline, 2 almost prolateral) : tibia, 3 pairs ventral, 2 pro- and 1 retrolateral; metatarsus, 3 pairs and 1 median distal rentral, 3 pro- and 2 retrolateral. Leg 3 . Femur, 6 dorsal; tibia, 2-1-2 rentral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 2 dorsal; metatarsus, 2-2-1 ventral, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral, 2 large dorsal. Leg 4. Femur, 6 dorsal (all small); tibia, 2-1-2 ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 2 dorsal; metatarsus, $2-2-1$ ventral, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral, 1 large dorsal.

Abdomen oval. Genital plaque not so heavily sclerotized as in other species, with ridges shorter, more eurved, centrally placed but widely separated (fig. 34 ). The copulatory canals are seemingly sclerotized grooves instead of tubular, or part of tubes not sclerotized; diverticula small, connecting canals long and forming almost a circle around the spermathecae (fig. 33). Spermathecae elongate, well separated, and obliquely placed. Fer-

Plate V (Enlargements approximate)
Figure 31. Calacadia rossi Exline, new species, genital plaque of holotype female ( $\times 38$ ) 。

Figure 32. Calacadia rossi Exline, new species, left side of genital plaque, cleared ( $\times 76$ ).

Figure 33. Calacadia coquimbensis Exline, new species, right side of genital plaque, cleared ( $\times 76$ ).

Figure 34. Calacadia coquimbensis Exline, new species, genital plaque of female holotype $(\times 38)$.

Figure 35. Calacadia osorno Exline, new species, genital plaque of holotype $(\times 38)$.

Figure 36. Calacadia osorno Exline, new species, left side of genital plaque, cleared $(\times 76)$.
tilization canals long and twisted, similar to those of C. rossi. Many details of the genital structures can be seen through the thin cuticle, at least in the holotype. Spinnerets, colulus, and anal tubercle similar to those of $C$. chilensis, but anterior spimerets more nearly contiguous, and not extending so far laterally. Posterior spinnerets slightly shorter than anterior, but bear the small terminal segment.

Male. Smaller than female, with legs longer in proportion to body length, with less massive geniculate chelicerae. Total length, 7.16 mm .; earapace, 3.53 mm . long, 2.51 mm . wide (crushed so that height not measurable, nor accurate data on eyes obtainable). Eyes about as in female, but appear light in color, perhaps due to in, jury and loss of pigment. Chelicerae, mouthparts, and sternum as in female.

Legs as in female except that elaws of tarsi bear more teeth, as in male of C. chilensis: leg 3 with 11 teeth on upper claws, lower claw with three very small teeth; three or four trichobothria on metatarsi very long as in male of C. chilensis; posterior metatarsi bear stiff hairs toward tips, modified, but not so noticeably as in female, with rows of fine spicules. Length of legs:

|  | Femur | Pat.-tibia | Metatarsus | Tarsus | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.98 | 3.72 | 2.60 | 1.30 | 10.60 |
| 2 | 2.98 | 3.6 .3 | 2.42 | 1.21 | 10.24 |
| 3 | 2.51 | 2.98 | 2.42 | 1.02 | 8.93 |
| 4 | 3.35 | 3.72 | 3.58 | 1.30 | 11.90 |

Spination of legs: Leg 1. Femur, 2 median and 1 small posterior, dorsal; tibia, 4 pairs ventral, 2 pro- and 2 very small retrolateral; metatarsus, 2-2-3 ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral (small), 1 small dorsal. Leg 2. Femmr, 6-7 weak dorsal; patella, 1 weak distal; tibia, 4 pairs ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral; metatarsus, 3 pairs ventral, 1 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 1 dorsal. Leg 3. Femur, 7 weak dorsal; patella, 1 weak distal; tibia, 3 pairs ventral, 2 proand 2 retrolateral, 3 dorsal; metatarsus, 3 pairs ventral, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral and 3 dorsal. Leg 4. Femur, 5 very small dorsal; tihia, 2-2-1 ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 2 dorsal; metatarsus, 3 pairs ventral, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral, 3 dorsal (all quite long).

Palp (figs. 27, 29) very similar to that of other species, especially elose to (. dentifera (Tullgren). Patch of teeth on lateral side of patella large but only slightly protruding: basal protuberance of tibia low and inconspicuous; terminal apophysis of tibia fairly long, with ventral carina; parts of the bulb also similar to C. dentifera, but with terminal apophysis or "fulerum" straighter and completely enclosing slender, whip-like embolus, even at its tip.

Remarks. As male and female were collected some $8^{\circ}$ of latitude apart, there is no certainty that they are conspecific. They are placed together on morphological characters and pattern: the spination of the legs is similar, spines are mostly short and not overlapping, and the pattern of the abdomen and legs is similar. The male specimen is pale and soft, and had just passed through its ultimate moult when captured.

The male is similar to C. dentifera (Tullgren) from south Chile, which was described on the basis of a specimen with five pairs of ventral spines on the anterior tibiae; the figure of the palp shows a curvature of the tip of the terminal apophysis, the tegulum not so protruding as in C'. coquimbensis, the tooth-bearing part of the patella more produced, and the tibia wider with a shorter terminal apophysis.

Simon's C. radulifera is also similar, but described with three teeth on the lower margin of the fang furrow of the chelicerae, and no mention was made of a basal apophysis on the tibia of the male palp. The female bears five pairs of ventral spines, according to Simon.

The specific name is an adjectival form of the province (Coquimbo) in which the female holotype was found.

Type localities. Holotype female-Chile (Coquimbo): Fray Jorge Forest, collected December 11, 1950, by Drs. E. S. Ross and A. E. Michelbacher. Allotype male—Chile (Cantín): 25 km . east of Témuco, collected winter, 1951, by M. G. Smith. Both specimens at the California Academy of Sciences.

Calacadia rossi Exline, new species.
(Figures 19, 20, 21, 24, 31, 32.)
Female. Total length, 7.56 mm .; carapace, 3.60 mm . long, 2.43 mm . wide, 1.35 mm . high. Carapace and legs burnt orange streaked with dark gray, legs irregularly annulated; chelicerae darker than carapace; sternum and coxac dull yellow; abdomen oval, mottled with dark gray (specimen somewhat denuded), seemingly without pattern (fig. 20).

Carapace more slender than that of ('. chilensis, with slightly sinuous sides: cephalic region not much wider than thoracic. Both rows of eyes slightly procurved, lateral ẹes slightly raised; median ocular area about as wide as long. Dimensions of eyes: A.M.E., 0.12 mm .; A.L.E., 0.20 mm .; P.M.E., 0.17 mm .; P.L.E., 0.24 mm . Distance between A.M.E., 0.06 mm .; A.M.E. and A.L.E., 0.11 mm .; P.M.E., 0.17 mm .: P.ML.E. and P.L.E., 0.16 mm.; A.M.E. and P.M.E., 0.18 mm.; A.L.E. and P.L.E., 0.08 mm . Clypeus, 0.08 mm . at level of A.L.E., wider between edge and A.M.E.; sloping. Labium slightly broader than long, basal one-fifth excavated. Sternum, 1.86
mm . long, 1.48 mm . wide, nearly straight in front (fig. 19). Otherwise details of the cephalothorax as in C. chilensis.

Legs as in genotype. Second tooth on third claw reduced to a denticle; upper claws bear nine teeth on front pairs of legs, seven on posterior (fig. 24). Distal half of posterior metatarsi thickly clothed with long, stiff hairs, each of which bears one to three rows of tiny thorns along its length (fig. 21). Length of legs:

|  |  |  | Femur | Pat.-tibia | Metatarsus | Tarsus |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2.34 | 3.28 | 2.00 | 1.22 | 8.84 |
| 2 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2.25 | 2.79 | 1.82 | 1.10 | 7.96 |
| 3 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\ldots \ldots$ | 2.16 | 2.52 | 2.04 | 1.00 |
| 4 | $\ldots \ldots . \ldots$ | 2.70 | 3.69 | 3.15 | 1.36 | 10.90 |

Spination of legs: Leg 1. Femmr, 3 dorsal, 1 prolateral; tibia, 5 pairs rentral, 1 pro- and 1 retrolateral; metatarsus, 1 pro- and 1 retrolateral, 4 pairs rentral (somewhat irregular). Leg 2. Femur, 6 dorsal (3 in midline, 2 nearly prolateral, 1 almost retrolateral); tibia, 4 pairs ventral, 2 pro- and 1 retrolateral; metatarsus, 3 pairs rentral and 1 distal midventral, 4 proand 2 retrolateral. Leg 3. Fcmur, 7 dorsal ( 3 in midline and 2 on each side); patella, a distal spine-like bristle dorsally; tibia, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 2-2-1 ventral, 3 dorsal. Leg 4 . Femur, 6 dorsal (3 in midline, 2 nearly proand 1 nearly retrolateral, all weak) ; patella, 1 distal spine-like bristle; tibia, $2^{-1-2}$ ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 2 dorsal; metatarsus, $2-2-1$ ventral, 3 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 3 dorsal.

Female genital plaque heavily sclerotized (fig. 31) : ridges almost straight, inconspicuous due to covering of long hair. Spermathecae very large, parallel, ovate, contiguous throughout length, but not bulging as in C. chilensis. Details of structure similar to C. chitensis, but parts more crowded, and fertilization canal a long twisting selerotized duct overlapping on opposite half (fig. 32).

Spimerets as in C. chitensis, except that posterior pair appears much shorter, but may be reeeded as in some specimens of $C$. chilensis.

Remarks. This may be a synonym of C. ambigua (Nieolet) or C. dentifera (Tullgren). The first is not deseribed in detail, the second from a single male specimen. If Simon was accurate about the armature of the chelicerae, ('. rossi cannot be placed with C. radulifera (Simon). It is obviously separate from C'. chilensis Exline, on the basis of structure of the genital plaque, and from $C$. coquimbensis Exline by the genital structures and the armature of the legs. Its separation from C. osorno Exline is not so definite: the armature of the legs is quite different, but series of specimens may show this to be a variable character. Structures of the genital plaque are sufficiently different in C. rossi and C. osorno to presume these represent different speeies,
but series of speeimens may indieate a considerable variation in the width, length, and form of various organs; the general pattern of structures is much the same.

The species is named for one of the collectors, Dr. F. S. Ross, California Aeademy of Sciences.

Locality data. Holotype female and two immature males-Chile (Nuble) : 50 km . N.E. of San Carlos, collected December 26, 1950, ḅ̣ Drs. E. S. Ross and A. E. Michelbacher (California Aeademy of Sciences).

Calacadia osorno Exline, new species.
(Figures 18, 35, 36.)
Feniale. Total length, 8.37 mm. carapace, 3.35 mm . long, e. 42 mm . wide, and 1.40 mm . high. Chelicerae, legs, and carapace golden yellow to yellowish brown, irregularly marked with bright brown and gray; a large yellowish area behind eyes narrowing on thorax; legs with very irregular annulations, darkest beneath femora. Sternum and coxae yellowish with gray markings, especially on tips of coxae, and a narrow longitudinal marking on posterior tip of sternum. Abdomen mottled gray, without distinet marking over heart area, but with a dark patch on each side anteriorly; posteriorly with three pairs of eherron-shaped black markings (fig. 18); venter a little lighter than sides; spinnerets yellow.

Chelicerae geniculate but not especially heavy, with large boss; fang groove armed as in other species. Eyes dark, small; clypeus low, wider than anterior median eyes but not so wide as anterior laterals. Both eve rows appear nearly straight; anterior row procurved, posterior row a little reeurved. Anterior median eyes less than a diameter apart, farther from laterals. Lateral eyes separated by about a diameter and situated on a common low tuberele. Posterior eves about equally large and equally spaced, medians separated by nearly a diameter. Median ocular area a little wider behind than long, and much wider posteriorly than anteriorly.

Maxillae, lip, and sternum nearly as in C. chilensis; lip nearly as long as wide and deeply notched in basal fifth; sternum rounded in front except for being slightly excavated for lip, with shallow undulations on sides, pointed behind between hind coxae, longer than wide.

Legs with troehanters only slightly notched, anterior tibiae with only three pairs of spines; legs rather short, covered with short, dark, simple hairs, and sparsely with longer, perpendicular, white hairs; trichobothria in double rows on last three segments; posterior pairs of metatarsi with brush of stiff hairs at tip, modified with at least one row of denticles; anterior tarsi with $9-10$ teeth on upper claws; upper claws of third tarsi with only seven, lower elaw with two extremely fine tecth. Length of legs:

|  |  |  | Femur | Pat.-tibia | Metatarsus | Tarsus | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2.14 | 2.60 | 1.67 | 0.98 | 7.39 |  |
| 2 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 2.05 | 2.33 | 1.67 | 0.84 | 6.89 |  |
| 3 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 1.95 | 2.23 | 1.95 | 0.65 | 6.78 |  |
| 4 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | $\ldots .2$. | 2.42 | 3.26 | 2.98 | 1.21 | 9.87 |

Spination of legs: Spines only moderately long and stout; those of ventral side of tibiae do not overlap. Leg 1. Femur, 5 dorsal (3 on midline, 2 distally on prolateral side) ; patella, a distal bristle; tibia, 3 pairs ventral, 2 prolateral; metatarsus, 2-2-3 ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral. Leg 2. Femur, 7 dorsal ( 3 in midline, 3 prolateral, 1 retrolateral); patella and tibia, as leg 1; metatarsus, $2-2-3$ ventral, 3 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 1 dorsal. Leg 3. Femur, 7 dorsal ( 3 in midline, 2 on each side) ; patella, 1 basal and 1 distal bristle; tibia, 2 ( 1 vestigial) $-1-2$ ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 2 dorsal; metatarsus, 2-2-3 ventral, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral, 2 dorsal. Leg 4. Femmr, 5 dorsal ( 3 in midline, one on each side) ; patella, as on leg 3; tibia, 1-1-2 ventral, 2 pro- and 2 retrolateral, 2 dorsal; metatarsus, 2-2-3 ventral, 3 pro- and 3 retrolateral, 1 dorsal.

Anterior spimerets almost contignous, with a very small second segment, preceded by a large colulus; medians very small and slender; posterior spinnerets very slender, well separated, shorter than basal segment of anteriors, bearing a short second segment with only a few spinning tubules. Anal tubercle normal, with two segments.

Genital plaque nearly as wide as long (fig. 35) ; external or copulatory ridges short, extending posteriorly only a little beyond the middle, raised, ending posteriorly in a large, sharp point; groove median to each, leading into posterior part of copulatory canal, which is larger, longer, and more vaulted than in other species, before turning dorsally and anteriorly to make loop outlining half of plaque. Internal structures (fig. 36) similar to C. rossi; diverticulum long and narrow; connecting canal following same path as in C. rossi, but heavier; spermathecae contiguous, not so long as in C. rossi; fertilization canals heavier but not so long nor so much coiled as in C. rossi, each drained by a small duct leading to ventral wall of vagina.

Comparative specimen : paratype female from near Purranque with total length of 6.70 mm .; carapace, 3.53 mm . long, 2.23 mm . wide, 1.30 mm . high; it had probably laid eggs before capture, as abdomen is short in proportion to carapace, and spinnerets are somewhat receded. Carapace and legs darker than holotype, with abdomen having golden cast instead of uniform dull gray, and black markings more conspicuous. This specimen, an immature female, and two immature males agree with holotype in having only three pairs of spines on ventral side of anterior tibiae, and in the very shallowly notched trochanters.

Remarks. Calacadia osorno is a small, well marked species, with only three pairs of ventral spines on the anterior tibiae; shallowly notched trochanters; short, conspicuous copulatory ridges on the genital plaque; and very slender and short posterior spinnerets. The internal structure of the genital plaque is quite similar to ('. rossi.

The specific name is that of the Chilean Province in which the specimens were collected, and is a noun in apposition.

Locality data. Female holotype-Chile (Osorno) : 10 km . cast of Puyehue, collected January 24, 1951, by Drs. E. S. Ross and A. E. Michelbacher. Female paratype, an immature female, and two immature males-(Osorno) : Valley Forest, 18 km . west of Purranque, collected January i6, 1951, by Dr. Ross and Dr. Michelbacher.

The holotype is the property of the California Acadery of Sciences; the paratype female is to be deposited at the American Siuseum of Natural History.

Calacadia ambigua (Nicolet).
Clubiona ambigua Nıcolet, 1849, Arácnidos, in Gay, Historia de Chile, T. 3, p. 438 (male and female).
Mynthes ambigua (Nicolet). Simon, 1887, Mission Cap Horn, T. 6, p. E16 (male and female) ; 1898, Hist. Nat. Araign., ed. 2, T. 2, p. 245 -Tullgren, 1902, Bihang T. K. Svenska Vet.-Akad. Handl., Bd. 28, p. 71.

Rubrius ambigua (Nicolet). Petrunkevitcir, 1911, Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., Bull., vol. 29, p. 536.-Roewer, 1954, Kat. der Araneae, Bd. 2, Abt. a, p. 97.

Nicolet described Clubiona ambiguu as having annulate spiny legs, with the aspect of a Lycosa; head with parallel sides, eyes all black, chelicerae very convex at base; abdomen elliptical with two longitudinal rows of oblique spots; male a little smaller than female, both sexes hairy. Simon described the male palp as having the patella scarcely as long as wide, its external border regularly set with small teeth, and bearing on the outside a weak tubercle; the tibia, equally short, with a weak external tubercle, and at the distal external angle a conical apophysis, which is prolonged under the segment in the form of an oblique carina, not reaching the base; tarsus voluminous and attenuated.

On the basis of the two deseriptions, the species can be definitely assigned to C'alacadia, but without more detailed information it is impossible to identify recent material with C'. umbigua.

Nicolet had at least one male and one female irom Valdivia, Chile.

Calacadia dentifera (Tullgren).
Mynthes dentifer Tullgren, 1902, Bihang T. K. Svenska Vet.-Akad. Handl., Bd. 28, pp. 69-71, pl. 7, figs. 5a, 5b (male).

Rubrius dentifer (Tullgren). Petrunkevitcir, 1911, Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., Bull., vol. 29, p. 536-Roewer, 1954, Katalog der Araneae, Bd. 2, Abt. a, p. 98.

Tullgren doubtfully placed his speeies in Mynthes Simon (type species, Coelotes subfasciatus Simon $=$ Rubrius antarcticus (Karsch), according to Roewer), because Simon had identified Clubiona ambigua Nicolet as Mynthes ambigua, although he stated that his species was not closely related to $M$. subfasciatus (Simon). Tullgren's description is excellent, although he did not mention the dark eyes, nor the colulus and spinnerets. According to Tullgren : total length, 8 mm .; anterior tibiae with 5 pairs of spines underneath; eheliceral furrow with only 2 teeth on the posterior margin; and his figure of the male palp shows the toothed apophysis on the patella, the earinate apophysis of the tibia, with a very small hair-bearing protuberance on the basal part of the tibia, and the typical characters of the bulb; the terminal apophysis or "fulcrum" of Tullgren's species is curved at the tip. The description was based on a male from the lower Aysen Valley of southern Chile.

## Calacadia radulifera (Simon).

Rubrius radulifer Simon, 1902, Hamburger Magal. Sammel., Bd. 6, Heft 4, pp. 36-37.
This species clearly belongs to Calacadia. Simon described the females as being between 12 and 15 mm . in length, in which ease this is the largest species known. The anterior median eyes are larger than in other species, being scarcely smaller than the anterior lateral eyes; the chelicerae are somewhat differently armed: the lower margin bears three small equal teeth, and the upper margin two larger teeth; the four anterior tibiae bear five pairs of ventral spines, and a smaller basal retrolateral spine; the genital plaque is wider than long, anteriorly rounded on each side, and truneated posteriorly. So far as described, other details agree with other species. ${ }^{2}$

The male is 10 mm . long, with much longer legs than the female, and the posterior eyes are a little larger and a little closer together. The anterior tibiae have only four pairs of spines, but bear two pro- and two retrolateral spines. Simon described the palp as having the patella no longer than wide, externally slightly convex, and with numerous irregularly placed, black spicules, forming a radula; the tibia is no longer but a little heavier than the patella, with a quite short and obtuse tooth directed anteriorly but produced underneath in an oblique carina; tarsus much longer than tibia and patella together, quite widely ovate, but drawn out considerably toward tip and quite sharp; bulb large, complicated, armed on the external margin with a long, black, substraight spine (the terminal apophysis) reaching the

[^1]apex of the tarsus. Seemingly there is no basal apophysis on the tibia of the palp, and the tooth-bearing portion of the patella is not sufficiently raised for Simon to have termed it an apophysis.

The male and female may not be conspecific.
Specimens were collected at Putabia, near Valdivia, April 20, 1893, and at Valdivia, March 31, 1893.
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[^0]:    1. Mrs. Don L. Frizzell, Rolla, Missouri.
[^1]:    2. Dr. Herbert W. Levi of the Museum of Comparative Zoology (Cambridge), in 1958, examined the type of Rubrius radulifer (Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris). He has informed me that the chelicerae are armed as in other species of Calacadia, rather than as described by Simon.
